

**COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1 OF 2022**

**04.09.2023**

[1] Complainant appears in person along with the counsel, Shri L.N. Ngamba.

[2] Respondent Nos. 4 (Shri Thuankulung Gangmei) and 5 (Shri Kh. Lovejoy) file their written comments to the Preliminary Inquiry Report. Respondent No. 3 (Shri Robertson Asem, MCS, the then SDO, TML) also filed his written comment. Shri Th. Deepak Singh, learned counsel for Respondent No. 2 (Shri P. Sana Singh (Retired MCS), the then SDO TML) stated at bar the he has instruction from his client not to file written comment to the Preliminary Inquiry Report, accordingly, he submits at bar that Respondent No. 2 is not filing written comment.

[3] Shri P. Ibomcha Singh, Advocate entered appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 1 (Shri Armstrong Pame, IAS, the then DC(LA), TML) and he prays for at least 2 weeks' time for filing his comment to the Preliminary Inquiry Report.

[4] Taking into consideration of the submission of the Ld. Counsel, Shri P. Ibomcha Singh and the posting of the Respondent No. 1 (Shri Armstrong Pame, IAS, the then DC(LA), TML) on central deputation at Delhi, we are of the considered view that 2 weeks' time will be sufficient for filing his (Shri Armstrong Pame, IAS, the then DC(LA), TML) written comment. Accordingly, 2 weeks' time is granted for filing the comment of Respondent No. 1. However, it is made clear that if the written comment is not filed within the period granted above, the present complaint case will be proceeded without his (Respondent No. 1) written comment.

[5] List this case on 18.09.2023 for filing of written comment of the Respondent No. 1 (Shri Armstrong Pame, IAS, the then DC(LA), TML) and also for further proceeding.

[6] For just and fair proceeding of the present case, parties are directed to exchange their written comments. Parties are further directed to submit their e-mail address of their respective counsels to the Bench Section of Manipur Lokayukta.

Sd/-  
**MEMBER**

Sd/-  
**CHAIRPERSON**