

MISC. CASE NO. 6 OF 2021
Ref.: Complaint Case No. 2 of 2019

27.02.2021

1. Perused the office note dated 22.02.2021, wherein and whereunder the Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta reported that the complainant of the present case (Complaint Case No. 2 of 2019), Mr. Ch. Corneleus prays for furnishing copies of the documents placed in files marked as F/1, F/2 and F/3 and other documents mentioned in application dated 20.02.2021. May be because of his inquisitiveness, the complainant is filing applications one after another before Manipur Lokayukta for not only furnishing copies of the documents proposed to prove the fact on which the allegations are based but also asking to furnish copies of a number of documents collected by the Inquiry Officers in the course of the inquiry.

2. In the present case, the complainant has filed applications one after another bringing new facts and allegations against the respondents. The inquiry of the present case is at final stage and producing documents by piecemeal will rather interfere in the smooth progress of the inquiry than assisting the progress of the inquiry. Moreover, the Manipur Lokayukta had furnished all the documents which will assist/help the complainant in better understanding of the case of the respondents and also the manner of conducting the Preliminary Inquiry by the Inquiry Officer(s) in the present case.

3. The Supplementary Inquiry report submitted in connection with the present case had already been furnished to the complainant, however, by the present application dated 20.02.2021, the complainant is asking for furnishing the copies of documents mentioned in files F/1, F/2 and F/3 of the Supplementary Inquiry report. The recorded statements of the officers examined in connection with the present case are placed in file marked as F/2 and the comments on the allegations of the complainant are placed in file marked as F/3. So as to enable the complainant to understand the Supplementary Inquiry Report, the copies of the statement of the officers examined in connection with the present case placed at F/2 and copies of the comments of the respondents on the allegations of the complainant placed in F/3 may be furnished to the complainant.

4. On careful perusal of the statements of the officers examined in connection with the present case placed at F/2 and comments of the respondents placed as F/3 as well as the whole Supplementary Inquiry Report, earlier Preliminary Inquiry Report and connected papers, complainant shall certainly understand the Supplementary Inquiry Report. At present, the complainant is not functioning as a superior officer of the Inquiry Officer conducting the inquiry to make him understand as to how the inquiry officers shall appreciate the documents available in the course of the inquiry. For better understanding of the proceeding of the inquiry, the Manipur Lokayukta

Contd..2/-

is fair enough to furnish all the documents as well as the recorded statements of the officers examined in the present case and the comment of the respondents as well as number of documents to the complainant. However, the copies of the correspondence between the respondents, which will be furnished to the complainant after final investigation of the present case, may not be required to be furnished at this stage.

5. Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta is directed to furnish copies of the statements of officers examined in connection with the present case placed in the file marked as F/2 and copies of the written comment on the allegations of the complainant by the respondents placed in the file marked as F/3 to the complainant within 2 (two) days.

6. Misc. case is disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
MEMBER

Sd/-
CHAIRPERSON