

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 3 OF 2021

18.07.2022

1. Shri Niraj Bobby Paonam, learned counsel enters appearance on behalf of Respondent No. 2 (ADC Member, Shri Micah Panmei) and Respondent No. 3 (the then CEO, Shri Kajaigai Gangmei) appears in person. None appears for Respondent Nos. 1, (Shri Namsinrei Panmei, ADC Chairman); Respondent No. 4 (Shri Lalsang, Work agency); Respondent No. 5 (Shri Pouchunlung, Work agency); Respondent No. 6 (Shri Athon, Work agency) and Respondent No. 7 (Shri Chungaigong, Work agency).
2. Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta is directed to place a report as to whether notice(s) to the said 4 Respondents Viz. Respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6 and 7 had been properly served or not.
3. The Respondent No. 1 (Shri Namsinrei Panmei, ADC Chairman) and the present CEO, ADC, Tamenglong are directed to ensure proper service of notice of the present complaint to Respondents Nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7. The respondent Nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7 are the work agencies, who alleged to have completed the works under work order issue in their favour and payment had already been made to them. Therefore, the Respondent No. 1 (Shri Namsinrei Panmei, ADC Chairman) and the present CEO, ADC Tamenglong, Ningreingam Leisan, have the particulars and address of the Respondent Nos. 4, 5, 6 and 7.
4. Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta is directed to re-issue the notice to the Respondents Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6 and 7 along with a copy of this order. It is caution that in case the said Respondents fail to appear before Manipur Lokayukta on the next date fixed for their appearance in spite of proper service of notice, Lokayukta would have no alternative but to take coercive action to enforce for their appearance before Manipur Lokayukta.
5. Shri Niraj Bobby Paonam, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 submits at bar that Respondent No. 2 has not been furnished with a copy of the Preliminary Inquiry Report and as such without having a copy of the Preliminary Inquiry report, Respondent No. 2 is not in position to file the written comments/written objection to the Preliminary Inquiry report. Respondent No. 3 also submits at bar that he has not yet been furnished with a copy of the Preliminary Inquiry Report. Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta is directed to furnish a copy of Preliminary Inquiry Report to Shri Niraj Bobby Paonam, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 and Respondent No. 3 (the then CEO, Shri Kajaigai Gangmei) within 24 hours.

Contd..2/-

(2)

6. Shri Niraj Bobby Paonam, learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 prays for 3 (three) weeks' time for filing comment/written objection to the Preliminary Inquiry Report. Prayer is granted. Accordingly, 3 (three) weeks' time is granted to the respondent No. 2 for filing his comment, if any, to the Preliminary Inquiry Report.

7. Fix this case on 10.08.2022 for filing of written comment/written objection for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and also for appearance of Respondent Nos. 1, 4, 5, 6 and 7.

Sd/-
MEMBER

Sd/-
CHAIRPERSON