

COMPLAINT CASE NO. 1 OF 2019

11.09.2020

Perused the administrative note dated 11.09.2020 wherein Deputy Registrar, Manipur Lokayukta noted that Director (Inquiry), Manipur Lokayukta has furnished a report from the office of Superintendent, Central Jail, Tihar, New Delhi that UTP, Shri Mutum Shyamo, S/o (L) M. Amutombi Singh of Lalambung Makhong Takhellambam Thangjam Leirak, Imphal West has expired on 18.06.2020.

On 24.08.2020, we have directed Director (Inquiry), Manipur Lokayukta to submit a report as to whether Dr. L. Shyamo Singh, then Director JNIMS Hospital expired on 18.06.2020. In compliance of that order dated 24.08.2020, Director (Inquiry) submitted has furnished a report from the office of Superintendent, Central Jail, Tihar, New Delhi that UTP, Shri Mutum Shyamo, S/o (L) M. Amutombi Singh of Lalambung Makhong Takhellambam Thangjam Leirak, Imphal West has expired on 18.06.2020. The Director (Inquiry) submitted the Preliminary Report wherein it is mentioned that Dr. M. Shyamo Singh, Ex-Director, JNIMS in collusion with others has purchased the HPLC machine at an escalated price. Thus, they have incurred a huge amount of Government's money while purchasing the said HPLC machine. In the above context, the Inquiry Wing, Manipur Lokayukta framed charges against the respondents i.e. Respondent No. 1 Dr. M. Shyamo Singh, Ex-Director, JNIMS, Porompat Respondent No. 2. Dr. Angam, Professor Dept of Forensic Medicine JNIMS, porompat, Imphal East. Respondent No. 3. Dr. L. Fimate, the then Head of Department, Forensic Mwdicine JNIMS, Ebenezeer Villa, Laimanai, Langol, Imphal West District, Manipur.

It is provided under Section the PC Act, 1988 that are punishable

There may be a hypothesis that in eventuality the correspondent part if you may be completed under the Defence Offenses and may pass a composite order and sanction that is imprisonment and fine.

Under section 421 of the CRPC warrant for levy of file can be issued under to the occur presence may take action for record of file for section 421 of

Under section 394 of CRPC an Appellant under section 377 and 378 filed by the Appellant consist on a date of the accused in respect of appellant and sanction of fine on BR perusal of section 394 of CRPC it is appealed against the composite i.e. the imprisonment/fine and fine will not be evicted as while as fine portion can be inform the priority of deceased Appellant

The Apex supreme Court had already discussed the section 394(2) of CRPC in RAMESAN (DEAD) through legal representative in the case of appeal case the composite sentence imprisonment fine while eviction only apart of under case file and in a result

A copy of the preliminary report has on Respondent No. 1 Dr.M. Shyamo Singh asking him to submit his submission regarding The present consisting in the case of the above Hypothesis

In the eventually Dr. M. Shyamo Singh may be conducted under evidence of the present correspondence Act and awarded S. Biswajit Meitei learned counsel has been made of the present of the hearing

SD/-
CHAIRPERSON